







# Effects of Fermented and Non-fermented Green Seaweed Supplementation on Performance, Caecal Bacterial Population, and Blood Constituents of Japanese Quails

Salma Hashem Abu Hafsa<sup>1\*</sup> , Sawsan Abd-Ellatif<sup>2</sup> , Mohamed Elsayed Eid Farag<sup>3</sup> , Mervat, Ali Breakaa<sup>3</sup> ,  
Elsayed Shaaban Abdel Razik<sup>1</sup> , and Ayman Abdelmohsen Hassan<sup>3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Livestock Research Department, Arid Lands Cultivation Research Institute, City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications, New Borg El-Arab, Alexandria 21934, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Bioprocess Development Department, Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute, City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications, New Borg EL-Arab, Alexandria 21934, Egypt

<sup>3</sup>Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Dokki, Giza 12619, Egypt

\*Corresponding author's E-mail: hashim\_salma@yahoo.com

Received: September 23, 2024, Revised: October 28, 2024, Accepted: November 27, 2024, Published: December 30, 2024

## ABSTRACT

Green Seaweeds are a valuable feedstock that can be utilized in poultry feed. Due to their recalcitrant polysaccharide structure, their use is still limited in poultry farming. This structure can be broken by a biotechnological approach such as solid-state fermentation (SSF) such as *Trichoderma reesei*, which simultaneously increases the nutritional value of the biomass. The current study aimed to investigate the effect of supplementation of fermented and non-fermented green seaweed (*Ulva lactuca*) on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, carcass characteristics, caecal microbiota, serum biochemistry, and antioxidant status in growing Japanese quails. Japanese quails (n = 375; one day old) were divided into five groups, with three replicates per group (25 quails in each replication). The quails were fed with five experimental diets, namely a control diet (basal diet without supplement), a basal diet supplemented with 1% and 2% green seaweed (GS) as well as 1% and 2% fermented green seaweed (FGS) for 42 days. The results showed that the groups fed FGS had a greater body weight gain and better feed conversion ratio than the other groups. The FGS groups showed the highest digestibility of crude protein and crude fiber, followed by the GS groups. FGS supplementation decreased abdominal fat percentage while increasing the bursa of Fabricius weight. The count of *Lactobacillus* was significantly increased in quails fed either GS or FGS, while *Clostridium perfringens* and *Escherichia coli* were decreased. The green seaweed-fed groups had significantly greater total protein, albumin, and globulin levels than the control group. Total lipids, triglycerides, cholesterol, HDL, and LDL were decreased in quails-fed diets containing 1% and 2% FGS. The quails in FGS diet groups had higher levels of total antioxidant capacity, catalase, and superoxide dismutase than the other groups, but lower levels of MDA. In conclusion, adding up to 2% fermented *Ulva lactuca* to the basal diet of Japanese quail promotes the growth and health of quails.

**Keywords:** Antioxidant status, Caecal microbiota, Fermentation, Green seaweed, Performance, Lipid profile, Japanese quail

## INTRODUCTION

There is currently a great interest in using seaweed in poultry feed. Seaweed, as a rich source of bioactive

components, can improve poultry health and performance while it increases the quality of poultry products (meat and eggs) when incorporated into feed (Holdt and Kraan 2011; Michalak and Mahrose, 2020). Seaweeds offer a lot of

promise as mineral feed additives, especially Ca, Cu, Fe, I, Mg, Mn, P, Se, and Zn (Abu Hafsa *et al.*, 2019; 2021). Seaweed-chelated micrometals are more readily available to animals (including poultry) than inorganic compounds (Evans and Critchley, 2014). Green seaweed species, such as *Ulva lactuca*, contain a variety of compounds that are not found in terrestrial plants, such as ulvan, alginate, and fucoidan, that have various biological functions, including the ability to modulate gut health due to their prebiotic, antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and immunomodulatory effects (Li *et al.*, 2018; Cañedo-Castro *et al.*, 2019). *Ulva* sp. may have higher levels of protein (15-20%), essential amino acids, fiber (290-670 g/kg), with both soluble and insoluble fibers (Øverland *et al.*, 2018), polysaccharides, minerals, vitamins (A, B12, C, D, E, and K), lipids, polyunsaturated fatty acids including omega-3 fatty acid, pigments, and many antioxidant compounds, including polyphenols (Holdt and Kraan, 2011; Li *et al.*, 2018; Øverland *et al.*, 2018). El Basuini *et al.*, (2023) reported that incorporating *Arthrospira* or *Chlorella* in the diet of rabbits improved performance, nutrient utilization, intestinal efficacy, and antioxidants. Fermentation of feed with microorganisms can result in functional feeds formulated to enhance gut microbiome, performance, and health, with the potential to improve feed quality for poultry and animals by increasing the availability of fiber and nutrients (Al-Harthi and El-Deek, 2012) and elongating the storage period (Choi *et al.*, 2014; Dewi *et al.*, 2019). Fermentation is an environmentally friendly safe technique that has various advantages, including reducing the risk of microbial contamination during storage and allowing obtaining a wide variety of bioactive compounds (Missotten *et al.*, 2013; Xie *et al.*, 2016), improving the anticoagulant, and anti-inflammatory properties of seaweed, as well as increasing the antioxidants and bioactive potential (Dordević *et al.*, 2010). Thus, fermentation techniques, primarily those involving fungus, are increasingly being used to apply particular enzymes using materials such as substrates. According to a review of the literature, *Trichoderma reesei* (*T. reesie*) is one of the most well-known organisms capable of producing high amounts of enzymes, including cellulases, hemicellulases, and xylanases. Furthermore, beneficial microorganisms utilized in microbial fermentation can improve poultry performance by acting as probiotics. Thus, fermentation of seaweed by microorganisms can lead to synergistic effects (Lin *et al.*, 2016). The findings obtained by Choi *et al.* (2014) suggested that feeding broilers 0.5% fermented seaweed improved body weight gain, feed conversion ratio (FCR),

and immunological status, compared to the control group. It has been reported that fermented feeds improved animal performance and gut morphology (Chiang *et al.*, 2010; Sun *et al.*, 2013), enhance the immunity of animals (Gao *et al.*, 2009; Sugiharto and Ranjitkar, 2018), and modulate the gut microbiota, partially by the selective inhibition of intestinal pathogens (Canibe and Jensen, 2012; Missotten *et al.*, 2015), and improve nutrient digestibility and neutralization of anti-nutritional agents in the feed (Missotten *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2019). *Ulva* sp. has been intensively studied as a feed ingredient for broiler chickens. Abudabos *et al.* (2013) reported incorporating 3.0% *Ulva lactuca* into broiler diets improved breast muscle yield and dressing percentage, as well as lowered abdominal fat and mortality. These improvements were due to the availability of soluble fibers and sulfur-containing essential amino acids, such as methionine and cysteine. Cañedo-Castro *et al.* (2019) found that increasing the length of the intestinal villi led to an increase in the intestinal surface area as well as higher brush-border enzyme activity, resulting in a larger surface area for absorption and digestion capacity. In addition, *Ulva* treatments had significantly lower serum triglycerides and total cholesterol levels than the control (Abudabos *et al.*, 2013; Cañedo-Castro *et al.*, 2019). Obviously, whilst promising, further work in this field of application is required. Recently, feed additives pre-fermented with probiotic organisms have attracted attention for their ability to enhance growth performance and gut health in poultry production. The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of supplementation of fermented and non-fermented green seaweed byproducts on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, carcass traits, caecal bacterial population, and serum biochemistry and antioxidants status parameters in growing Japanese quail.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Ethical approval

All experimental procedures of the study were performed according to the Animal Care and Use Committees and approved by the ethics committee of the City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications (Protocol No. 33-2B-0621), Alexandria, Egypt.

### Collection and preparation of green seaweed (*Ulva lactuca*)

Green seaweed (*Ulva lactuca*) was handpicked from submerged rocks in Abu Qir Bay, on the Mediterranean

Sea coast of Alexandria, Egypt. The collected *Ulva lactuca* was washed and rinsed three times in fresh water to remove sand and debris before being sun-dried for three days. The dried samples were ground into powder and

placed in airtight bags for further chemical analyses. The chemical analysis of *Ulva lactuca* powder as a feed additive is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1.** The chemical composition of non-fermented and fermented green seaweed

Items	Non-fermented green seaweed	Fermented green seaweed
<b>Chemical analysis (Percentage on a dry matter basis)</b>		
Organic matter	81.67	78.59
Crude protein	21.05	31.77
Crude fiber	9.88	7.69
Ether extract	3.18	2.87
Nitrogen free extract	47.56	36.6
Ash	18.33	21.41
Neutral detergent fiber	38.44	35.52
Acid detergent fiber	24.28	22.06
Acid detergent lignin	7.36	7.02
Hemicellulose	14.16	13.46
Cellulose	16.92	15.04
Neutral detergent fiber nitrogen	34.26	30.11
Non-fiber carbohydrate	23.18	13.84
<b>Mineral composition (mg/kg)</b>		
Sodium	193.8	211.7
Potassium	96.9	102.3
Calcium	72.4	78.6
Magnesium	200.1	210.5
Phosphorus	306.4	311.9
Iodine	188.9	174.6
Lead	0.052	0.047
Cadmium	0.029	0.021
Iron	2.06	2.11
Cobalt	0.10	0.04
Manganese	0.08	0.04
Selenium	1.11	0.99
Zinc	0.84	0.91

#### **Fermentation of green seaweed with *Trichoderma reesei***

In this study, the fermentation process was a solid-state fermentation type (SSF). Fermentation of green seaweed (*Ulva lactuca*) was performed using *T. reesei* (ATCC 28217) for 96 h at 37°C and under aerobic conditions. The *T. reesei* was obtained from the Microbiology Research Center (MIRCEN), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Egypt. The total substrate consisted of *Ulva lactuca* seaweed powder, 4% molasses, 0.4% urea (46.5% N), 0.2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.03 MgSO<sub>4</sub> (7H<sub>2</sub>O), and water; the ratio of green seaweed powder to water was 1:2 from the total volume of the substrate. The fermentation endpoint was determined from total sugar, reduced sugar, and pH according to Abd Ellatif et al., (2019). After fermentation, green seaweed was dried in an oven and then ground into a powder in a blender. The chemical composition analysis was performed for FGS to compare GS byproducts before and after

fermentation (Table 1). Fermentation conditions were handled according to the optimum growth conditions in Abd Ellatif et al (2019). The fermented green seaweed byproduct was stored at –20°C until supplementation.

#### **Experimental design, diets, and laboratory analyses**

A total of 375 unsexed one-day-old Japanese quail quails (average weight of 8.53 ± 0.34) were distributed randomly into five equal experimental treatments (75 quails each) and three replicates (25 quails each). Dietary treatments included the control (basal diet without any supplementation), while the second and the third treatments received the basal diet containing green seaweed (GS) at 1% and 2% of the diet respectively. The fourth and fifth treatment groups received the basal diet containing fermented green seaweed (FGS) at 1% and 2% of the diet respectively. All quails were reared in wire battery cages in a well-ventilated room and kept under the

same managerial, hygienic, and environmental conditions. In the first 4 days, the brooding temperature was 33°C. Then, it was lowered to 30°C until the end of the first week, and it was reduced to 28°C in the second week. From the third week, the ambient temperature was maintained at around 25°C and the relative humidity was between 60 and 70%, with 23 hours/day light throughout the experimental period. Feed and fresh water were available *ad libitum* throughout the entire 42-day experimental period. The experimental diets were formulated according to the [NRC \(1994\)](#) and presented in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Ingredients and chemical composition of the basal diet of growing Japanese quail.

Ingredients (%)	Starter phase (0-3 weeks)	Finisher phase (4-6 weeks)
Yellow corn	54.1	60.4
Soybean meal (44% CP)	28.5	22.3
Protein concentrate*	10.00	9.1
Wheat bran	6.00	6.8
Vegetable oil	0.50	0.50
Dicalcium phosphate	0.20	0.20
Vitamin and mineral premix**	0.30	0.30
L-lysine	0.15	0.15
DL- methionine	0.25	0.25
Total	100	100
<b>Proximate chemical analysis (%)</b>		
Crude protein	23.07	20.46
Crude fiber	3.42	3.71
Ether extract	4.73	4.94
<b>Calculated nutritional values</b>		
Metabolizable energy (MJ /kg)	14.712	14.80
Calcium (%)	0.83	0.79
Available phosphorus (%)	0.33	0.29

\*Protein concentrate contained: 52% Crude protein, 2.03% Crude fiber, 6.17% Ether extract, ME 2080 (Kcal/Kg), 1.50 % Methionine, 2.00% Methionine and Cystine, 3.0% Lysine, 7.00% Calcium, 2.93% available Phosphorus, and 2.5% NaCl. \*\*Each 3 kg of vitamin and mineral premix contains (per ton of feed), Vit. A 12000000 IU, Vit. D3 2000000 IU, Vit. E 10g, Vit. K3 1000 mg, Vit. B1 1000 mg, Vit. B2 5g, Vit. B6 1.5g, Vit. B12 10 mg, Pantothenic acid 10g, Niacin 30g, Folic acid 1g, Biotin 50 mg, Iron 30g, Manganese 60g, Choline chlorite 10g, Iodine 300 mg, Copper 4g, Zinc 50g, and Selenium 100 mg.

### Growth performance

Individual quail weights were recorded weekly to determine the final body weight (FBW), and the feeder in each cage was recorded daily to determine daily feed intake (DFI). Body weight gain (BWG) is the final body weight (g) - initial body weight (g), and feed conversion ratio (g feed/g gain) was calculated. The mortality rate was recorded daily, and at the end of the experiment, the percentage was recorded for each group.

### Nutrient digestibility

During the final week of the trial, 8 quails (4 males and 4 females) were chosen from each replicate/treatment and placed in individual battery cages for the digestibility study. Quails were allowed to acclimate for 2 days, then the feed intake was recorded and faeces samples were gathered daily from each cage before feeding in the morning for 5 consecutive days. All the fresh samples were stored at -20°C for further analysis. Before being pulverised for chemical analysis the feed and excreta samples were oven-dried for 48 h at 70°C.

### Carcass characteristics and caecal microbes

At the end of the experimental period (at 42 days of age), 24 quails (12 males and 12 females) per treatment were randomly chosen and the quails were weighed and slaughtered using the Islamic Halal method after 12 hours of feed deprivation. Carcass traits were assessed after complete bleeding, and the weights of abdominal fat, gizzard, and bursa of Fabricius were weighed and calculated as a percentage of the live body weight. Dressing percentage was computed as carcass weight relative to slaughter weight. The lengths (cm) of the intestine and caecum were determined. To determine caecal bacterial counts, another three quails from each replicate/treatment were euthanized by cervical dislocation. Caeca were quickly removed and their contents were collected in sterile tubes. Tenfold dilutions of 1 g of each sample were serially prepared in phosphate buffer solution and poured directly onto Petri dishes containing culture media. *Lactobacillus sp.* and anaerobic bacteria were cultured in de Man–Rogosa–Sharpe (MRS) agar and incubated in anaerobic conditions for 48 hours at 37°C. Total aerobic and *Escherichia coli* bacteria were plated on MacConkey agar and incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C. *Clostridium perfringens* was plated on a Perfringens agar base (Oxoid) mixed with 400 mg of D-cycloserine/liter and incubated under anaerobic conditions for 48 h at 37°C according to ([Abu Hafsa and Hassan, 2022](#)). Bacterial colony-forming units (CFU) in the Petri

dishes were counted using a range of 30-300 cfu/g, depending on the growth characteristics of the bacterial species. The counts were expressed as log cfu/g.

### Chemical analyses

Green seaweed, feed, feces, and meat samples were finely pulverized in a Cyclotec mill (Cyclotec 1093; Foss, Germany) and stored before chemical analysis. Moisture was determined in oven-dried samples at 70°C to constant weight. The content of CP (N 6.25) was determined according to Kjeldahl's method (Method No. 978.04, AOAC, 2005). The ether extract was determined according to the Soxhlet extract method using petroleum ether as an extracting agent (40-60°C, AOAC, 2005). Ash content was determined by incinerating the samples in a muffle furnace at 550°C. The contents of neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), and acid detergent lignin (ADL) were determined using a Tecator Fibretic System, according to the method of Van Soest et al. (1991). The content of nitrogen free extract (NFE) was calculated as  $NFE (\%) = 100 \% - (EE \text{ perncetage} + CP \text{ perncetage} + Ash \text{ perncetage} + CF \text{ perncetage})$ . Cellulose was measured as  $Cellulose (\%) = ADF \text{ percentage} - ADL \text{ percentage}$  and hemicellulose was calculated as  $hemicellulose (\%) = NDF \text{ percentage} - ADF \text{ percentage}$ . Mineral elements such as calcium, cadmium, cobalt, iron, lead, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, potassium, sodium, and zinc were analyzed after samples were dissolved in 1 M HNO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and microwaved. The elements' concentrations in dried seaweed samples were determined with an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Unicam 919; Unicam Ltd., Cambridge, UK), whereas phosphorus was colorimetrically determined using molybdovanadate reagent. Nitschke and Stengel (2015) method was used to determine Iodine. Selenium was determined by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Lavu et al., 2013).

### Blood biochemical parameters and antioxidant status

At the end of the experiment, 24 quails from each treatment were slaughtered by severing the jugular vein to collect blood samples into sterile tubes. Subsequently, blood samples were immediately centrifuged for 15 minutes at 2000 × g by a centrifuge (T32c; Janetzki, Wallhausen, Germany), and stored at -20°C for further biochemical analysis. Total protein, albumin, glucose, total lipids, triglyceride, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and high-density lipoprotein (HDL), commercial kits from the biodiagnostic company (Giza,

Egypt) were determined calorimetrically using standard kits supplied by the biodiagnostic company (Cairo, Egypt), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The total globulin fraction is generally determined by subtracting the albumin from the total protein. Total antioxidant capacity (TAC), catalase, superoxide dismutase (SOD), and malondialdehyde (MDA) were determined using commercial kits from Biodiagnostic Company (Giza, Egypt) and a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### Statistical analyses

Data were subjected to statistical analyses in a randomized complete block design using the general linear model procedures of SAS/STAT (Statistical Analysis System, version 9.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) (2011). The obtained data were tested by an analysis of variance with a one-way design to test the treatment at each sampling, according to the following formula:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

Where  $Y_{ij}$  denotes the represented observation in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  non-fermented or fermented green seaweed,  $\mu$  is the overall mean effect,  $T_i$  signifies the effect of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  non-fermented or fermented green seaweed, and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  refers to the random error associated with the  $j^{\text{th}}$  birds assigned to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  non-fermented or fermented green seaweed treatment. The means were compared using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955). Significant differences among means were considered to be significant at the  $p < 0.05$ . All results are presented as the least squares mean.

## RESULTS

### Chemical composition of fermented and non-fermented green seaweed

The chemical and mineral compositions of non-fermented green seaweed (GS) and fermented green seaweed (FGS) are shown in Table 1. Contents of organic matter, crude fiber, ether extract, NFE, ADF, ADL, NDFn, NFC, cellulose, and hemicellulose were decreased after fermenting green seaweed with *T. reesie*. Fermenting green seaweed with *T. reesie* increased crude protein ash content. An appreciable increase in mineral content was observed in green seaweed when fermented with *T. reesie* compared to non-fermented seaweed.

### Growth performance

Table 3 shows that quails fed the control diet and those provided a diet supplemented with GS and FGS had



significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) in final body weight, body weight gain, and FCR. Final body weight, body weight gain, and FCR were highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) in quails fed a diet supplemented with 1% and 2% FGS, followed by those fed a diet supplemented with 1% and 2% GS. However, there were no significant variations in DFI between the treatment groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). The increase in the birds' general health is shown in the lower mortality rate of birds fed the diet with GS or FGS compared with the control diet.

### Nutrient digestibility

The nutrient digestibility of the experimental diets provided to Japanese quail is shown in Table 4. In general, dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, and crude fiber digestibility values increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) with quail-fed diets supplemented with GS or FGS compared with the control group, except NFE, which did not differ significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ). Quails fed 1% and 2% FGS had the best CP and CF digestibility, followed by GS-fed quails.

### Carcass characteristics and caecal microbes

Adding green seaweed, either non-fermented or fermented, to the basal diet significantly increased carcass yield, intestinal and cecum lengths, and bursa of Fabricius weight ( $p < 0.05$ , Table 5). Quails fed the 1% and 2% FGS diet had the longest ( $p < 0.05$ ) intestinal and cecum lengths and the highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) weight of bursa of Fabricius, followed by those fed 1% and 2% GS. Quails fed the 1% and 2% FGS diet had the lowest ( $p < 0.05$ ) abdominal fat percentage followed by those fed 1% and 2% GS compared to the control diet. The experimental treatments did not affect the weights of the liver and gizzard. Quails fed either GS or FGS had reduced meat moisture

compared with the control group ( $p < 0.05$ , Table 5). Quails fed 1% and 2% FGS diets had the highest CP content but the lowest EE content, followed by those fed 1% and 2% GS. The meat ash content was higher in quails fed either GS or FGS than those in the control ( $p < 0.05$ ).

In groups fed 1% or 2% of GS or FGS, total anaerobic bacteria counts increased, but total aerobic bacteria count decreased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ , Table 5). In quails fed with either 1% or 2% of GS or FGS, the *Lactobacillus* count increased dramatically, whereas the *Escherichia coli* and *Clostridium perfringens* counts declined significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ). Quails fed 1% and 2% FGS had the highest *Lactobacillus* count but the lowest *Escherichia coli* and *Clostridium perfringens* counts.

### Blood biochemistry and antioxidant status

The effect of the experimental diets on serum biochemical parameters and antioxidant status is shown in (Table 6). Total protein, albumin, and globulin in the serum were significantly greater in the green seaweed treatment groups than in the control group ( $p < 0.05$ ). However, quails fed either the GS or FGS diet had significantly lower AG ratio, total lipids, triglycerides, cholesterol, HDL-c, and LDL-c concentrations than those on the control diet ( $p < 0.05$ ). Quails fed the 1% and 2% FGS diet exhibited the lowest reduction in total lipids, triglycerides, cholesterol, HDL-c, and LDL-c levels compared with the control group, while there were no variations in glucose levels between treatment groups. Quails fed 1% and 2% FGS diets had the highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) levels of TAC, catalase, and SOD but the lowest level of MDA, followed by those fed 1% and 2% GS ( $p < 0.05$ , Table 6). However, no significant differences were observed between quails fed 2% GS and quails fed 1% GS, or between those fed 1% and 2% FGS ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Table 3.** Effects of dietary supplementation of fermented or non-fermented green seaweed on growth performance of Japanese quail

Parameters	Treatments						P-value
	Control	GS 1 %	GS 2 %	FGS 1 %	FGS 2 %	SD	
Initial body weight (g)	8.52	8.44	8.61	8.58	8.49	0.34	0.873
Final body weight (g)	198.34 <sup>c</sup>	209.52 <sup>b</sup>	211.61 <sup>b</sup>	215.73 <sup>a</sup>	218.54 <sup>a</sup>	2.17	0.024
Body weight gain (g)	4.52 <sup>c</sup>	4.79 <sup>b</sup>	4.83 <sup>b</sup>	4.93 <sup>a</sup>	5.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.05	0.001
Daily feed intake (g)	14.45	14.33	14.36	14.44	14.39	0.42	0.788
Feed conversion ratio	3.20 <sup>a</sup>	2.99 <sup>b</sup>	2.97 <sup>bc</sup>	2.93 <sup>c</sup>	2.88 <sup>c</sup>	0.05	0.002
Mortality rate (%)	4.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.33 <sup>b</sup>	1.33 <sup>b</sup>	2.67 <sup>b</sup>	1.33 <sup>b</sup>	1.14	0.016

<sup>a-c</sup> Different superscript letters in the same row differed significantly at  $p < 0.05$ . GS: Green seaweed. FGS: Fermented green seaweed. SD: Standard deviation

**Table 4.** Effects of dietary supplementation of fermented or non-fermented green seaweed on the nutrient digestibility of Japanese quail

Parameters	Control	GS 1 %	GS 2 %	FGS 1 %	FGS 2 %	SD	P-value
Dry matter (%)	75.39 <sup>b</sup>	78.35 <sup>a</sup>	78.42 <sup>a</sup>	79.33 <sup>a</sup>	79.39 <sup>a</sup>	1.45	0.029
Organic matter (%)	76.41 <sup>b</sup>	77.95 <sup>a</sup>	78.05 <sup>a</sup>	78.88 <sup>a</sup>	78.82 <sup>a</sup>	0.78	0.016
Crude protein (%)	73.26 <sup>c</sup>	74.53 <sup>b</sup>	74.66 <sup>b</sup>	75.84 <sup>a</sup>	75.97 <sup>a</sup>	0.36	0.001
Crude fiber (%)	45.18 <sup>c</sup>	49.07 <sup>b</sup>	50.16 <sup>b</sup>	52.58 <sup>a</sup>	52.85 <sup>a</sup>	1.12	0.011
Nitrogen free extract (%)	78.02 <sup>b</sup>	90.29 <sup>a</sup>	90.26 <sup>a</sup>	90.83 <sup>a</sup>	90.77 <sup>a</sup>	2.68	0.721

<sup>a-c</sup> Different superscript letters in the same row differed significantly at  $p < 0.05$ . GS: Green seaweed. FGS: Fermented green seaweed, SD: Standard deviation

**Table 5.** Effects of dietary supplementation of non-fermented or fermented green seaweed on carcass traits and caecal bacterial population of Japanese quail

Parameters	Control	GS 1 %	GS 2 %	FGS 1 %	FGS 2 %	SD	P-value
Carcass yield (%)	69.27 <sup>b</sup>	70.73 <sup>a</sup>	70.66 <sup>a</sup>	70.83 <sup>a</sup>	70.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.32	0.026
Liver weight (%)	2.21	2.15	2.09	2.13	2.06	0.18	0.726
Abdominal fat (%)	1.42 <sup>a</sup>	1.26 <sup>b</sup>	1.19 <sup>b</sup>	1.12 <sup>c</sup>	1.06 <sup>c</sup>	0.05	0.031
Gizzard weight (%)	2.57	2.61	2.48	2.55	2.64	0.22	0.835
Intestinal length (cm)	57.34 <sup>c</sup>	60.44 <sup>b</sup>	60.16 <sup>b</sup>	62.32 <sup>a</sup>	63.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.74	0.001
Cecum length (cm)	9.11 <sup>c</sup>	9.86 <sup>b</sup>	9.88 <sup>b</sup>	10.21 <sup>a</sup>	10.22 <sup>a</sup>	0.11	0.006
Bursa of fabricius (%)	0.08 <sup>c</sup>	0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.15 <sup>a</sup>	0.01	0.001
<b>Chemical composition of meat (%)</b>							
Moisture	72.39 <sup>a</sup>	71.06 <sup>b</sup>	70.97 <sup>b</sup>	70.83 <sup>b</sup>	70.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.27	0.021
Crude protein	20.05 <sup>b</sup>	20.88 <sup>a</sup>	20.82 <sup>a</sup>	20.94 <sup>a</sup>	21.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.22	0.033
Ether extract	4.29 <sup>a</sup>	3.96 <sup>b</sup>	3.84 <sup>b</sup>	3.91 <sup>b</sup>	3.81 <sup>b</sup>	0.25	0.007
Ash	1.45	1.51	1.55	1.53	1.56	0.13	0.578
<b>Caecal bacterial count (log cfu/g)</b>							
Total anaerobic bacteria	5.87 <sup>c</sup>	6.43 <sup>b</sup>	6.59 <sup>ab</sup>	6.77 <sup>a</sup>	6.89 <sup>a</sup>	0.21	0.026
Total aerobic bacteria	5.73 <sup>a</sup>	5.24 <sup>b</sup>	5.04 <sup>cb</sup>	4.92 <sup>c</sup>	4.75 <sup>c</sup>	0.17	0.018
<i>Lactobacillus</i>	6.41 <sup>c</sup>	6.92 <sup>b</sup>	7.19 <sup>b</sup>	7.47 <sup>a</sup>	7.58 <sup>a</sup>	0.10	0.001
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	4.55 <sup>a</sup>	4.16 <sup>b</sup>	4.08 <sup>b</sup>	3.89 <sup>c</sup>	3.77 <sup>c</sup>	0.13	0.001
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	2.67 <sup>a</sup>	2.22 <sup>b</sup>	2.15 <sup>b</sup>	1.88 <sup>c</sup>	1.76 <sup>c</sup>	0.11	0.001

<sup>a-c</sup> Different superscript letters in the same row differed significantly at  $p < 0.05$ . GS: Green seaweed. FGS: Fermented green seaweed, SD: Standard deviation

**Table 6.** Effects of dietary supplementation of non-fermented or fermented green seaweed on serum biochemical parameters and antioxidant status of Japanese quail.

Parameters	Control	GS 1 %	GS 2 %	FGS 1 %	FGS 2 %	SD	P-value
<b>Biochemical parameters</b>							
Total protein (g/dl)	3.37 <sup>b</sup>	3.81 <sup>a</sup>	3.87 <sup>a</sup>	3.89 <sup>a</sup>	3.92 <sup>a</sup>	0.06	0.017
Albumin (g/dl)	1.86 <sup>b</sup>	2.04 <sup>a</sup>	2.07 <sup>a</sup>	2.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.07	0.024
Globulin (g/dl)	1.51 <sup>b</sup>	1.77 <sup>a</sup>	1.80 <sup>a</sup>	1.89 <sup>a</sup>	1.84 <sup>a</sup>	0.09	0.031
A/G ratio	1.23 <sup>a</sup>	1.15 <sup>b</sup>	1.15 <sup>b</sup>	1.09 <sup>b</sup>	1.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.04	0.012
Glucose (mg/dl)	108.76	111.05	109.76	110.35	110.74	2.73	0.853
Total lipids (mg/dl)	389.37 <sup>a</sup>	278.46 <sup>b</sup>	289.04 <sup>b</sup>	244.61 <sup>c</sup>	248.36 <sup>c</sup>	24.93	0.027
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	96.83 <sup>a</sup>	89.66 <sup>b</sup>	88.04 <sup>b</sup>	68.85 <sup>c</sup>	66.77 <sup>c</sup>	2.06	0.007
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	143.29 <sup>a</sup>	129.73 <sup>b</sup>	121.76 <sup>b</sup>	99.97 <sup>c</sup>	94.06 <sup>c</sup>	12.04	0.004
HDL-c (mg/dl)	84.71 <sup>a</sup>	76.26 <sup>b</sup>	75.33 <sup>b</sup>	66.16 <sup>c</sup>	63.05 <sup>c</sup>	1.52	0.001
LDL-c (mg/dl)	39.21 <sup>a</sup>	35.54 <sup>ab</sup>	28.82 <sup>b</sup>	20.04 <sup>c</sup>	17.66 <sup>c</sup>	7.77	0.004
<b>Antioxidant status</b>							
TAC (U/L)	10.46 <sup>c</sup>	14.64 <sup>b</sup>	15.83 <sup>ab</sup>	16.44 <sup>a</sup>	17.89 <sup>a</sup>	1.78	0.002
Catalase (U/L)	104.53 <sup>c</sup>	140.86 <sup>b</sup>	145.06 <sup>ab</sup>	149.55 <sup>a</sup>	154.42 <sup>a</sup>	5.05	0.039
SOD (U/L)	28.58 <sup>c</sup>	36.26 <sup>b</sup>	38.27 <sup>ab</sup>	40.07 <sup>a</sup>	43.66 <sup>a</sup>	3.16	0.004
MDA (U/L)	5.73 <sup>a</sup>	4.02 <sup>b</sup>	3.94 <sup>bc</sup>	3.78 <sup>c</sup>	3.58 <sup>c</sup>	0.29	0.001

<sup>a-c</sup> Different superscript letters in the same row differed significantly at  $p < 0.05$ . GS: Green seaweed; FGS: Fermented green seaweed. HDL-c: High-density lipoprotein concentration, LDL-c: Low-density lipoprotein concentration, TAC: Total antioxidant capacity, SOD: Superoxide dismutase activity, MDA: Malondialdehyde

## DISCUSSION

The results showed that the organic matter, crude fiber, NFE, NDF, ADF, ADL, cellulose, and hemicellulose contents of the FGS with *T. reesie* were lower than the GS. This result may be due to the fact that *T. reesie* consumes carbohydrates particularly soluble carbohydrates and crude fiber and its fraction as carbon sources to produce CO<sub>2</sub> and energy and then uses this energy to proliferate and convert nitrogen sources in the media to microbial protein. Moreover, crude protein and ash contents of FGS were higher than GS. The biological treatment increased crude protein from 21.05 to 31.77% for GS and FGS, respectively. The enhancement in the content of CP could be due to fungus growth (El-Ashry et al., 2002). El-Menniawy (2008) reported that treatment of sugar cane bagasse by *T. viride* resulted in a decrease in NFE. Abdel-Azim et al. (2011) found that treated rice straw and corn stalks with *T. viride* had higher CP, ash, and EE content than the untreated substrate. These results might be attributed to the breakdown of lignocellulose bonds where the cellulose can be hydrolyzed by fungi (El-Ashry et al., 2002; Bilal, 2008; Abdel-Azim et al., 2011). The result of the present study demonstrates the potential use of solid-state fermentation (SSF) to biovalorize the nutritional value of seaweeds and produce high-value carbohydrates (data not shown). Especially in the green seaweed *U. lactuca*, *T. reesei* successfully produced enzymes that modified the structure of the seaweed, resulting in increased protein. Therefore, SSF is an environmentally friendly, economical and sustainable biovalorization approach that may lead to nutritionally suitable seaweed for poultry feed.

In this study, green seaweed (*Ulva lactuca*) affected growth performance. The nutritive value of *Ulva lactuca*, which is an enriched natural source of various components including protein, fatty acids, polysaccharides, minerals, and nearly all essential vitamins, has favorable effects due to its palatability and high nutrient content, which can stimulate body metabolism and enhance digestion and nutrient absorption, improved the body weight gain of groups treated with GS or FGS compared with the control group. The considerable enhancement in growth performance found in quails given the green seaweed diet is thought to be due to an increase in necessary amino acids, particularly sulfur-containing amino acids (Burtin, 2003); green seaweed offers remarkable nutritional qualities and could, thus, serve as a feasible alternative source of nutrients for poultry (Al-Harathi and El-Deek,

2012). Furthermore, macroalgae such as green seaweed are an excellent source of iodine, and the results in Table 1 show that *Ulva lactuca* can accumulate large amounts of iodine, which is necessary for thyroid function and health (Burtin, 2003). *Ulva rigida* was utilized in broiler diets at 2%, 4%, and 6% as a prebiotic enriched with microelements cobalt, copper, chromium, manganese, and zinc to improve broilers growth performance (Cañedo-Castro et al., 2019). Erum et al. (2017) reported that adding 5%, 10%, or 15% *Sargassum muticum* as a feed supplement to broiler feeds improved final body weight, average daily gain, FI, and FCR. Because of their prebiotic properties, seaweed polysaccharides can help increase poultry performance and improve general gut health (Kulshreshtha et al., 2014). However, Abu Hafsa and Hassan (2022) reported that the *Sargassum siliquastrum*-supplemented diet did not influence final body weight, average body gain, or average feed intake, but it improved FCR and resulted in a lower mortality rate. Similarly, Bai et al. (2019) reported that supplementing broilers' diet with 1% *L. japonica* enhanced FCR compared with the control. The findings of this study are in agreement with those of previous studies on laying quails (Abu Hafsa et al., 2019) and laying hens (Rizk et al., 2017) and demonstrated that the supplementation of seaweed enhanced their productive performance. A study by Abu Hafsa et al. (2021) showed that 4% of seaweed supplements improved rabbit growth performance. Rabbit diets with *Arthrospira* at 500 mg/kg and *Chlorella* at 300 and 500 mg/kg had the highest feed conversion ratio and improved nutrient utilization, according to El Basuini et al. (2023). Sweeney et al. (2012) found that extracts of macroalgae can improve growth performance and health by altering the gut structure, increasing nutrient digestion and absorption, gut microbiota, and/or modulating immune function, thereby enhancing the gut barrier function. Polysaccharides found in macroalgae can act as prebiotics, promoting animal growth and overall health through positive effects on the digestive tract (Vidanarachchi et al., 2009).

In the present study, the digestibility of a diet containing green seaweed was improved using biological treatment, except for NFE. Biological treatments of seaweed and agro-industrial byproducts have been reported to improve palatability and digestibility in several species (Fayed et al., 2009; Okab et al., 2013; Choi et al., 2014). The enhancement upon pretreatment of green seaweed by-product could also be attributed to the production of enzymes by *T. reesie* during fermentation,



which plays a significant role in the efficacy of nutrient breakdown and digestion in the birds' digestive system (Singh et al., 2019). The significant differences between the control group and treatment groups on quail growth performance further confirm the efficacy of the pretreatment of green seaweed to improve the utility of the green seaweed. Abu Hafsa and Hassan (2022) reported that *Sargassum siliquastrum* supplementation improved the digestibility of nutrients in Japanese quails. El Basuini et al., (2023) reported that incorporating Arthrospira or Chlorella in the diet of rabbits improved performance and nutrient utilization.

In this study, a significant increase in carcass yield and a significant decrease in abdominal fat were associated with feeding *Ulva lactuca*. These results are in agreement with those of Abudabos et al. (2013) who found that birds given 3% *Ulva lactuca* had a higher dressing percentage but less abdominal fat than the control group. Wang et al. (2013) found that adding 2%, 3%, or 4% dry green algae enhanced the quality of the breast meat: the fat content was dramatically reduced, and the abdominal fat rate was reduced. Erum et al. (2017) found that supplementation of broiler diets with *Sargassum muticum* improved carcass traits and increased the dressing percentage; however, it decreased the fat content of the carcass. The presence of soluble fibre in *Ulva lactuca*, which was reported to have 21.3% of soluble fibre (Lahaye and Jegou, 1993); could also be responsible for the decreased abdominal fat percentage observed. This is supported by the present findings of serum total lipids and cholesterol analysis. The hypocholesterolemic impact is associated with various properties characteristic of soluble fibre such as fermentability, viscosity, and bile salt binding capacity (Davidson and McDonald, 1998). Another reason contributing to the low content of fat is that *Ulva lactuca* has a high composition of polyunsaturated fatty acids, especially in terms of omega 3 and 6 fatty acids (Wahbeh, 1997). The intestine and cecum lengths of the *S. siliquastrum*-fed quails were much longer than those of the control group (Abu Hafsa and Hassan, 2022). In general, seaweed has a good impact on meat composition, which is usually improved as a result of fat reduction. Broiler chickens fed a diet containing seaweed had higher protein content but a lower fat content than the control birds (Zahid et al., 2001). *Spirulina* supplementation may improve meat quality by enhancing the integrity of muscle fibers and, as a result, the ability of muscles to retain water (Dal Bosco et al., 2014).

Green seaweed (*Ulva lactuca*) supplementation increased *Lactobacillus* abundance while reducing

*Escherichia coli* and *Clostridium perfringens* counts in the quail caecum. Seaweed polysaccharides could be used as prebiotic ingredients in animal health applications, promoting the growth and/or activity of beneficial gut microbiota like *Lactobacillus* sp., which, in turn, helps the host by reducing pathogen invasion and disease (O'Sullivan et al., 2010; Ford et al., 2020). *Lactobacillus* bacteria produce lactic and acetic acids, which decrease the gut pH and render it unsuitable for pathogen growth. *Lactobacillus* bacteria also boost immunity by upregulating the synthesis of intestinal mucins, which limit pathogen adherence to the intestinal epithelium and so prevent pathogen translocation (Gibson and Roberfroid (1995) and Dhama et al. (2008). The observed improvements in the microbial community could be due to a rise in *Lactobacillus* bacteria count, which leads to the establishment of resistance to *Escherichia coli* and *Clostridium perfringens* colonization through a competitive exclusion mechanism. Marine seaweeds contain a wide range of active components and proteins (Øverland et al., 2018); phlorotannins (Gupta and Abu-Ghannam, 2011); and pigments, such as carotenoids (O'Sullivan et al., 2011), which function as prebiotics to promote the growth of beneficial bacteria while preventing the growth of pathogenic bacteria, hence, improving overall health (Vidanarachchi et al., 2009).

Total protein intake has a significant impact on blood protein profile. As a result, the higher total serum protein level of quails fed GS or FGS in the diet could be an indication of high protein content. An alteration in normal systemic protein utilization is usually indicated by abnormal serum albumin. Furthermore, a low albumin/globulin ratio in GS or FGS groups showed higher disease resistance and immunological response in growing quails, implying that GS or FGS groups' immunity was improved over the control group. Rizk et al. (2017) found that adding dried green seaweed to the diet of Sinai hens (0.1 and 0.2 %) reduced total lipids, triglycerides, cholesterol, and LDL levels when compared to the control group. Al-Harthi and El-Deek (2012) stated that *Sargassum* utilized as a feed additive in different forms (sun-dried, autoclaved, or boiled) at a dose of 3% or 6% significantly lowered triglycerides, cholesterol, and HDL levels when compared with the control hens. El Basuini et al., (2023) found that arthrospira- or chlorella-containing algae groups had higher serum total protein levels and lower total cholesterol. Ulvan contains a significant amount of sulfate, which has the ability to deconstruct cholesterol and could be used as an antihyperlipidemic medication, contributing to the

reduction in cholesterol content (Qi and Sheng, 2015). Cañedo-Castro et al. (2019) suggested that dietary seaweed can be an alternative for improving intestinal integrity and lowering serum cholesterol concentrations.

A bird's antioxidant status is important for its resistance to infection, health maintenance, and productivity (Surai, 2002). Abdel-Daim et al. (2015) found that increases in TAC, catalase, and SOD and decreases in MDA, were indicators of decreased levels of lipid peroxidation. The most essential antioxidant enzyme, superoxide dismutase (SOD), is required for the elimination of superoxide in animals (Vijayavel et al., 2007). The significant rise in SOD values in *Ulva lactuca*-supplemented groups could imply a strong association between seaweed supplementation and better antioxidant capacity, as reported by Droge (2002). Seaweed is a promising source of bioactive peptides with numerous beneficial properties, including antioxidant potential (Chandini et al., 2008; Fan et al., 014). Li et al. (2018) reported that the concentrations of TAC and SOD were considerably greater in the groups supplemented with 0.5% to 1% ulvan than in the control group; nevertheless, MDA concentration was lower. Abu Hafsa and Hassan (2022) reported that quail treated with *Sargassum siliquastrum* had higher TAC and SOD than the control group. El Basuini et al., (2023) found that the groups fed an algal diet including either *Arthrospira* or *Chlorella* had the best GPx, whereas excellent SOD and CAT efficiency occurred at 500 mg/kg of *Arthrospira* and 300 and 500 mg/kg of *Chlorella*. Sulphated polysaccharides, specific to marine algae, are structurally analogous to animal glycosaminoglycans, which explains their high reactivity and specific biological activities when administered to animals (Suarez, 2019). Sulfated polysaccharides have an excellent antioxidant effect in vitro, including the ability to scavenge radicals (Wang et al., 2016).

## CONCLUSION

Supplementation of up to 2% fermented green seaweed to the basal diet of Japanese quail promotes the growth and health of quail. *T. reesei* successfully produced enzymes that modified the structure of the seaweed, resulting in increased protein, especially in green seaweed. This finding led to an increase in growth performance, the beneficial caecal bacterial population, immunity, and antioxidant status, while lowering triglycerides, cholesterol, and pathogenic bacteria and improving the intestinal health of treated birds. These findings suggested that SSF has a promising future in biovalorizing the

nutritional value of green seaweed and producing high-value carbohydrates.

## DECLARATIONS

### Acknowledgments

This study was conducted at the Noubaria experimental station, Animal Production Research Institute, and the Agricultural Research Centre. All experimental procedures of the study were performed according to the Animal Care and Use Committees and approved by the ethics committee of the City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications (Protocol No. 33-2B-0621), Alexandria, Egypt.

### Authors' contributions

Abu Hafsa and Hassan created the idea and designed the study, collected data, wrote the paper, and performed the statistical analysis. Formal analysis and methodology carried on by Abu Hafsa, Abd-Ellatif, Abdel Razik, and Hassan. Abu Hafsa drafted the manuscript and approved the final manuscript. All authors checked and confirmed the final analysis data and the last revised manuscript before publication in the journal.

### Funding

The present study was not financially supported by any organization, institute, university, and profit or non-profit sources,

### Competing interests

The authors declared that they have no competing interests.

### Availability of data and materials

The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

### Ethical considerations

Ethical issues (including plagiarism, consent to publish, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/submission, and redundancy) have been checked by the authors before the submission. The final results of the statistical analysis have been also checked and confirmed by all authors.

## REFERENCES

- Abd Ellatif S, Gharieb MM, El-Moghazy SM, Abo El-Yazied MN, and Bakry AM (2019). New approach to control sclerotium rolfsii induced sugar beet root rots disease by trichoderma with improved sucrose contents. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology, 13: 1595-1604. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.22207/JPAM.13.3.32>
- Abdel-Azim SN, Ahmed MA, Abo-Donia F, and Soliman H (2011). Evaluation of fungal treatment of some agricultural residues. Egyptian Journal of Sheep and Goat Sciences, 6: 1-13. Available at:

- [https://journals.ekb.eg/article\\_27022\\_72cdf9b4d6d3c9ed4e9d911e46814804.pdf](https://journals.ekb.eg/article_27022_72cdf9b4d6d3c9ed4e9d911e46814804.pdf)
- Abdel-Daim MM, Farouk SM, Madkour FF, and Azab SS (2015). Anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects of *Spirulina platensis* in comparison to Dunaliella salina in acetic acid-induced rat experimental colitis. Immunopharmacology and Immunotoxicology, 37: 126-139. DOI: <http://www.doi.org/10.3109/08923973.2014.998368>
- Abu Hafsa S H, Zeweil S H, Zahran SM, Ahmed MS, and Abdel-Rahman N (2019). Effects of dietary supplementation with green and brown seaweeds on laying performance, egg quality, and blood lipid profile and antioxidant capacity in laying Japanese quail. Egyptian Poultry Science Journal, 39: 41-59. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.21608/epsj.2019.28828>
- Abu Hafsa SH and Hassan AA (2022). The effect of *Sargassum siliquastrum* supplementation on growth performance, caecal fermentation, intestine histomorphology, and immune response of Japanese quails. Animals, 12: 432. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3390/ani12040432>
- Abu Hafsa SH, Khalel MS, El-Gindy YM, and Hassan AA (2021). Nutritional potential of marine and freshwater algae as dietary supplements for growing rabbits. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 20: 784-793. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2021.1928557>
- Abudabos AM, Okab AB, Aljumaah R, Samara E, Abdoun KA, and Al-Haidary AA (2013). Nutritional value of green seaweed (*Ulva lactuca*) for broiler chickens. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 12(2): e28. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2013.e28>
- Al-Harthi MA and El-Deek AA (2012). Effect of different dietary concentrations of brown marine algae (*Sargassum dentifolium*) prepared by different methods on plasma and yolk lipid profiles, yolk total carotene and lutein plus zeaxanthin of laying hens. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 11(4): 347-353. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2012.e64>
- Association of official analytical chemists (AOAC) (2005). Official methods of analysis, 18<sup>th</sup> Edition. AOAC International., Rockville, MD, USA.
- Bai J, Wang R, Yan L, and Feng J (2019). Co-supplementation of dietary seaweed powder and antibacterial peptides improves broiler growth performance and immune function. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science, 21: 1-9. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2018-0826>
- Bilal MO (2008). Effect of molasses and corn as silage additives on cell wall fractions of Mott grass silage with different fermentation periods. Journal of Animal and Plant Science, 18: 102-106. Available at: <https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=PK2009000533>
- Burtin P (2003). Nutritional value of seaweeds. Electronic Journal Environmental Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2: 498-503.
- Cañedo-Castro B, Piñón-Gimate A, Carrillo S, Ramos D, and Casas-Valdez M (2019). Prebiotic effect of *Ulva rigida* meal on the intestinal integrity and serum cholesterol and triglyceride content in broilers. Journal of Applied Phycology, 31: 3265-3273. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1007/s10811-019-01785-x>
- Canibe N and Jensen BB (2012). Fermented liquid feed-microbial and nutritional aspects and impact on enteric diseases in pigs. Animal Feed Science Technology, 173: 17-40. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.12.021>
- Chandini SK, Ganesan P, and Bhaskar N (2008). *In vitro* antioxidant activities of three selected brown seaweeds of India. Food Chemistry, 107: 707-713. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.08.081>
- Chiang G, Lu WQ, Piao XS, Hu JK, Gong LM, and Thacker PA (2010). Effects of feeding solid-state fermented rapeseed meal on performance, nutrient digestibility, intestinal ecology and intestinal morphology of broiler chickens. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 23(2): 263-271. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2010.90145>
- Choi YJ, Lee SR, and Oh JW (2014). Effects of dietary fermented seaweed and seaweed fusiforme on growth performance, carcass parameters and immunoglobulin concentration in broiler chicks. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science, 27(6): 862-870. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2014.14015>
- Dal Bosco A, Gerencser Z, Szendro Z, Mugnai C, Cullere M, Kovacs M, Ruggeri S, Matti SO, Castellini C, and Dalle Zotte A (2014). Effect of dietary supplementation of *Spirulina (Arthrospira platensis)* and Thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*) on rabbit meat appearance, oxidative stability and fatty acid profile during retail display. Meat Science, 96: 114-119. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.06.021>
- Davidson MH and McDonald A (1998). Fiber: Forms and functions. Nutrition Research, 18: 617-624. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1054579498000163>
- Dewi YL, Yuniza A, Sayuti K, Mahata ME, and Nuraini N (2019). Fermentation of *Sargassum binderi* seaweed for lowering alginate content of feed in laying hens. Journal World's Poultry Research, 9: 147-153. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.36380/jwpr.2019.18>
- Dhama K, Mahendran M, Tomar S, and Chauhan RS (2008). Beneficial effects of probiotics and prebiotics in livestock and poultry: The current perspectives. Intas Polivet, 9(1): 1-12.
- Dordević TM, Šiler-Marinković SS, and Dimitrijević-Branković SI (2010). Effect of fermentation on antioxidant properties of some cereals and pseudo cereals. Food Chemistry, 119: 957-963. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.07.049>
- Droge W (2002). Free radicals in the physiological control of cell function. Physiological Reviews, 82: 47-95. DOI: <http://www.doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00018.2001>
- Duncan DB (1955). Multiple ranges and multiple F test. Biometrics, 11: 1-42. DOI: <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.2307/3001478>
- El Basuini MF, Khattab AAA, Abu Hafsa SH, Teiba II, Elkassas NEM, El-Bilawy EH, Dawood MAO, and Atia SES (2023). Impacts of algae supplements (*Arthrospira* & *Chlorella*) on growth, nutrient variables, intestinal efficacy, and antioxidants in New Zealand white rabbits. Scientific Reports, 13(1): 7891. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-34914-1>
- El-Ashry AM, Kholif MA, ElAlmay HA, Fadel M, El-Sayed MH, and Kholif SM (2002). Effect of biological treatment on chemical composition and *in vivo* nutrients Digestibility of poor quality roughages. Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds, 6(2): 113-126. Available at: <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.5555/20083091317>
- El-Menniawy AYM (2008). Studies on biological treatments in animal nutrition. Ph.D. Thesis. Al-Azhar University, Egypt. Available at: [https://journals.ekb.eg/article\\_27022\\_72cdf9b4d6d3c9ed4e9d911e46814804.pdf](https://journals.ekb.eg/article_27022_72cdf9b4d6d3c9ed4e9d911e46814804.pdf)
- Erum T, Frias GG, and Cocal CJ (2017). *Sargassum muticum* as feed substitute for broiler. Asia Pacific Journal of Education Arts and Science, 4: 6-9. Available at: <http://apjeas.apjmr.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/APJEAS-2017.4.4.2.02.pdf>
- Evans F and Critchley AT (2014). Seaweeds for animal production use. Journal of Applied Phycology, 26(2): 891-899. Available at: <https://www.proquest.com/docview/1655745649?sourcetype=Scholarly%20Journals>
- Fan X, Bai L, Zhu L, Yang L, and Zhang X (2014). Marine algae-derived bioactive peptides for human nutrition and health. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 62(38): 9211-9222. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1021/jf502420h>
- Fayed MA, El-Ashry MA, and Aziz HA (2009). Effect of feeding olive tree pruning by-products on sheep performance in Sinai. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5: 436-445. Available at:

- <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.415.3994&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Ford L, Stratakis AC, Theodoridou K, Dick JT, Sheldrake GN, Linton M, Corcionivoschi N, and Walsh PJ (2020). Polyphenols from brown seaweeds as a potential antimicrobial agent in animal feeds. *ACS Omega*, 5(16): 9093-9103. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.9b03687>
- Gao J, Zhang HJ, Wu SG, Yu SH, Yoon I, Moore D, Gao YP, Yan HJ, and Qi GH (2009). Effect of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fermentation product on immune functions of broilers challenged with *Eimeria tenella*. *Poultry Science*, 88(10): 2141-2151. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2009-00151>
- Gibson GR and Roberfroid MB (1995). Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: Introducing the concept of prebiotics. *Journal of Nutrition*, 125(6): 1401-1412. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1093/jn/125.6.1401>
- Gupta S and Abu-Ghannam N (2011). Recent developments in the application of seaweeds or seaweed extracts as a means for enhancing the safety and quality attributes of foods. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 12: 600-609. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2011.07.004Holdt>
- Holdt SL and Kraan S (2011). Bioactive compounds in seaweed: Functional food applications and legislation. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 23: 543-597. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1007/s10811-010-9632-5>
- Kulshreshtha G, Rathgeber B, Stratton G, Thomas N, Evans F, Critchley AT, Hafting J, and Prithiviraj B (2014). Feed supplementation with red seaweeds, *Chondrus crispus* and *Sarcodictyon gauchaudii*, affects performance, egg quality, and gut microbiota of layer hens. *Poultry Science*, 93: 2991-3001. DOI: <http://www.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2014-04200>
- Lahaye M and Jegou D (1993). Chemical and physical-chemical characteristics of dietary fibers from *Ulva lactuca* (L.) Thuret and *Enteromorpha compressa* (L.) Grev. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 5: 195-200. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1007/BF00004017>
- Lavu RVS, De Schepper V, Steppe K, Majeti PNV, Tack F, and Du Laing G (2013). Use of selenium fertilizers for production of Se-enriched Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus*): Effect on Se concentration and plant productivity. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 176: 634-639. DOI: <http://www.doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201200339>
- Li Q, Luo J, Wang C, Tai W, Wang H, Zhang X, Liu K, Jia Y, Lyv X, Wang L et al. (2018). Ulvan extracted from green seaweeds as new natural additives in diets for laying hens. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 30: 2017-2027. DOI: <http://www.doi.org/10.1007/s10811-017-1365-2>
- Lin HTV, Lu WJ, Tsai GJ, Chou CT, Hsiao HI, and Hwang PA (2016). Enhanced anti-inflammatory activity of brown seaweed *Laminaria japonica* by fermentation using *Bacillus subtilis*. *Process Biochemistry*, 51(12): 1945-1953. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2016.08.024>
- Michalak I and Mahrose K (2020). Seaweeds, intact and processed, as a valuable component of poultry feeds. *Journal Marine Science Engineering*, 8: 620. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3390/jmse8080620>
- Missotten JA, Michiels J, Degroote J, and De Smet S (2015). Fermented liquid feed for pigs: An ancient technique for the future. *Journal of Animal Science Biotechnology* 6: 4. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/2049-1891-6-4>
- Missotten JA, Michiels J, Dierick N, Olyn A, Akbarian A, and De Smet S (2013). Effect of fermented moist feed on performance, gut bacteria and gut histo-morphology in broilers. *British Poultry Science*, 54(5): 627-634. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2013.811718>
- National research council (NRC) (1994). Nutrition requirement of poultry, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition. National Academy of Science Press., Washington, DC, USA.
- Nitschke U and Stengel DB (2015). A new HPLC method for the detection of iodine applied to natural samples of edible seaweeds and commercial seaweed food products. *Food Chemistry*, 172: 326-334. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.09.030>
- O'Sullivan AM, O'Callaghan YC, and O'Grady MN (2011). *In vitro* and cellular antioxidant activities of seaweed extracts prepared from five brown seaweeds harvested in spring from the west coast of Ireland. *Food Chemistry*, 126(3): 1064-1070. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.11.127>
- O'Sullivan L, Murphy B, McLoughlin P, Duggan P, Lawlor PG, Hughes H, and Gardiner GE (2010). Prebiotics from marine macroalgae for human and animal health applications. *Marine Drugs*, 8(7): 2038-2064. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3390/md8072038>
- Okab AB, Samara EM, Abdoun KA, Rafay J, Ondruska L, Parkanyi V, Pivko J, Ayoub MA, Al-Haidary AA, Aljumaah RS et al. (2013). Effects of dietary seaweed (*Ulva lactuca*) supplementation on the reproductive performance of buck and doe rabbits. *Journal of Applied Animal Research*, 41(3): 347-355. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2013.783479>
- Øverland M, Mydland LT, and Skrede A (2018). Marine macroalgae as sources of protein and bioactive compounds in feed for monogastric animals. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 99: 13-24. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9143>
- Qi H and Sheng J (2015). The antihyperlipidemic mechanism of high sulfate content ulvan in rats. *Marine Drugs*, 13: 3407-3421. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3390/md13063407>
- Rizk YS, Ismail II, Abu Hafsa SH, Eshera AA, and Tawfeek FA (2017). Effect of dietary green tea and dried seaweed on productive and physiological performance of laying hens during late phase of production. *Egyptian Poultry Science*, 37: 685-706. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.21608/epsj.2017.7534>
- Singh AK, Tiwari UP, Berrocosi JD, Dersjant-Li Y, Awati A, and Jha R (2019). Effects of a combination of Xylanase, amylase and protease, and probiotics on major nutrients including amino acids and non-starch polysaccharides utilization in broilers fed different level of fibers. *Poultry Science*, 98: 5571-5581. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pez310>
- Suarez MG (2019). Science behind macroalgae activities. *Poultry World*. Available at: <https://www.poultryworld.net/author/maria-garcia-suarez/>
- Sugiharto S and Ranjitkar S (2018). Recent advances in fermented feeds towards improved broiler chicken performance, gastrointestinal tract microecology and immune responses: A review. *Animal Nutrition*, 5(1): 1-10. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2018.11.001>
- Sun H, Tang JW, Yao XH, Wu YF, Wang X, and Feng J (2013). Effects of dietary inclusion of fermented cottonseed meal on growth, caecal microbial population, small intestinal morphology, and digestive enzyme activity of broilers. *Tropical Animal Health. Production*, 45: 987-993. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11250-012-0322-y>
- Surai PF (2002). Selenium in poultry nutrition. I. Antioxidant properties, deficiency and toxicity. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 58: 333-347. Available at: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1079/WPS20020026>
- Sweeney T, Collins CB, Reilly P, Pierce KM, Ryan M, and O'Doherty JV (2012). Effect of purified beta-glucans derived from *Laminaria digitata*, *Laminaria hyperborea* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on piglet performance, selected bacterial populations, volatile fatty acids and pro-inflammatory cytokines in the gastrointestinal tract of pigs. *British Journal Nutrition*, 108(7): 1226-1234. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1017/S0007114511006751>



- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, and Lewis BA (1991). Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 74: 3583-3597. Available at: [https://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302\(91\)78551-2/pdf](https://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302(91)78551-2/pdf)
- Vidanarachchi JK, Iji PA, Mikkelsen LL, Sims I, and Choct M (2009). Isolation and characterization of water-soluble prebiotic compounds from Australian and New Zealand plants. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 77(3): 670-676. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2009.02.009>
- Vijayavel K, Anbuselvam C, and Balasubramanian MP (2007). Antioxidant effect of the marine algae *Chlorella vulgaris* against naphthalene-induced oxidative stress in the albino rats. *Molecular Cellular Biochemistry*, 303: 39-44. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1007/s11010-007-9453-2>
- Wahbeh MI (1997). Amino acid and fatty acid profiles of four species of macroalgae from Aqaba and their suitability for use in fish diets. *Aquaculture*, 159(1-2): 101-109. DOI: [https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(97\)00183-X](https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(97)00183-X)
- Wang J, Hu S, Nie S, Yu Q, and Mingyong X (2016). Reviews on mechanisms of in vitro antioxidant activity of polysaccharides. *Oxidative Medicine Cellular Longevity* 2016: 5692852. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1155/2016/5692852>
- Wang S, Shi X, Zhou C, and Lin Y (2013). Enteromorpha prolifera: Effects on performance, carcass quality and small intestinal digestive enzyme activities of broilers. Available at: *Chinese Journal Animal Nutrition*, 25(6): 1332-1337. <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.5555/20133246495>
- Wang Y, Liu J, Wei F, Liu X, Chunxia Y, and Zhang Y (2019). Improvement of the nutritional value, sensory properties and bioavailability of rapeseed meal fermented with mixed microorganisms. *LWT-Food Science and Technology* 112: 108238. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.06.005>
- Xie PJ, Huang LX, Zhang CH, and Zhang YL (2016). Nutrient assessment of olive leaf residues processed by solid-state fermentation as an innovative feedstuff additive. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 121(1): 28-40. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1111/jam.13131>
- Zahid PB, Ali A, and Zahid MJ (2001). Brown seaweeds as a supplement for broiler feed. *Hamdard Medicine*, 44: 98-101. Available at: <https://eurekamag.com/research/003/665/003665705.php?srsltid=AfmBOortVKSU9Yxn8JHZvUTXR-ILU7Z27PzIg5V84I9Taz7OQt0-tQtA>

**Publisher's note:** [Scienceline Publication](#) Ltd. remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access:** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.